UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI NORTHERN DIVISION

GLORIA WESLEY PLAINTIFF

VS.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:14cv242-CWR-FKB

DEFENDANT

ERIC K. SHINSEKI,
SECRETARY OF VETERANS AFFAIRS
The Department of Veterans Affairs

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

On March 20, 2014, Plaintiff Gloria Wesley filed a complaint in this Court alleging job discrimination pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 2000e and 42 U.S.C. § 1981a. On the same date, Plaintiff filed an Application to Proceed in District Court Without Prepaying Fees or Costs (short form) [2] requesting that the prepayment of filing fee and costs be waived pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915.

After a review of the sworn Financial Affidavit filed with the Court and the unsworn information filed by Plaintiff, it is the opinion of the undersigned that Wesley is not indigent under the law and should not be allowed to proceed in forma pauperis. Although Plaintiff presents evidence of monthly expenses, Plaintiff is employed with a steady income.

Section 28 U.S.C. §1915(a)(1) is intended to provide access to federal courts for plaintiffs who lack the financial resources to pay any part of the statutory filing costs. <u>See Williams v. Estelle</u>, 681 F.2d 946 (5th Cir. 1982); <u>Prows v. Kastner</u>, 842 F.2d 138 (5th Cir. 1988). This Court, in exercising its discretion, has considered the following factors:

Is the plaintiff barred from the federal courts by reason of his impecunity? <u>Souder v. McGuire</u>, 516 F.2d 820 (3rd Cir. 1975);

Is access to the courts blocked by the imposition of an undue

hardship? <u>Startti v. United States</u>, 415 F.2d 1115 (5th Cir. 1969); and,

Is the plaintiff forced to contribute his last dollar, or render himself destitute to prosecute his claim? <u>Adkins v. E.I. DuPont de Nemours & Co.</u>, 335 U.S. 331, 337 (1941).

Considering the circumstances of Plaintiff, the undersigned finds that she could pay the filing costs without undue financial hardship if given ninety days to pay the filing fee. Plaintiff will not be rendered destitute by paying the filing fee in ninety days, as she does have a place to reside, a motor vehicle, and a monthly income. Because she will not be barred from the federal courts due to her lack of financial resources, it is the recommendation of the undersigned that Plaintiff's motion to proceed in forma pauperis be denied. Plaintiff shall be given ninety days to pay the filing fee in this cause; all of the costs associated with the filing of this lawsuit should be paid on or before June 25, 2014. If Plaintiff does not object to this recommendation, and further does not pay the filing fee by June 25, 2014, the undersigned recommends that this case be dismissed without prejudice with no further notice to Plaintiff.

Plaintiff is referred to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b) for the appropriate procedure in the event she desires to file objections to these findings and recommendations. Plaintiff is further notified that failure to file written objections to the proposed findings, conclusions, and recommendation contained within this report and recommendation within fourteen (14) days after being served with a copy shall bar her, except upon grounds of plain error, from attacking on appeal the unobjected-to proposed factual findings and legal conclusions accepted by the district court. 28 U.S.C. §636; Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(as amended, effective December 1, 2009); <u>Douglass v. United Services Automobile Association</u>, 79 F.3d 1415, 1428-29 (5th Cir. 1996).

Respectfully submitted, this the 25th day of March, 2014.

/s/ F. Keith Ball UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE